

BioProcess International
Manuscript Review Form

Article Title:

Please review the attached manuscript, adding additional page(s) of comment if you would like to.
(If you have any questions or need more time to complete your review, contact Anne.)

1. General Recommendation

- Article is objective, does not promote specific products or company services.
- Article is publishable as-is as a regular technical article.
- Article is publishable as-is as a vendor voice article.
- Article is publishable as-is, but would be better with a few changes (*see points below*).
- Article needs some revision but is potentially well suited for BPI (*see points below*).
- Article needs substantial revision, but will be worth the effort (*see points below*).
- Article should be rejected (*check points below or add comments for the author*).

2. Data and Illustrations (*check all that apply*)

- The data and technical elements are sound and clearly presented.
- Tables are clearly presented and adequate for the manuscript's purpose.
- Figures are clearly presented and adequate for the manuscript's purpose.
- Photos and/or sidebars are clearly presented and adequate for the manuscript's purpose.
- The data, technical elements, and/or illustrations are questionable.

Please describe any changes you feel are necessary:

3. Content

- Language difficulties must be addressed.
- A revision or careful copyediting can "neutralize" the promotional content
- The author will have to start anew to "neutralize" the promotional content
- Manuscript would be improved by . . . (*Please describe: Does the manuscript need expansion or condensation in any areas? Further examples or description of methods or processes? Other?*)

4. Title

- The title clearly represents the author's intention.
- The title needs to be revised. *Suggestion:*

5. References

- References are adequate.
- References would benefit from changes (*please describe*).

6. Audience and Positioning

- Audience and key words are clearly identified for the Focus Box.
- Author may want to consider these changes to the Focus Box (*please suggest*):

NOTE: Please add any other comments that will help the editors make their decision and work with the author.